1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General 3 LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 101336 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 5 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 6 Telephone: (619) 645-2610 7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE 9 **BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 Case No. 2013-453 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 LAURA ANNE BERNACKI 13 AKA LAURA BERNACKI ACCUSATION 1300 Adams Avenue, #36D 14 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 15 Registered Nurse License No. 627165 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her 20 1. official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of 21 22 Consumer Affairs. On or about September 29, 2003, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered 23 24 Nurse License Number 627165 to Laura Anne Bernacki, aka Laura Bernacki (Respondent). The 25 Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 26 herein and expired on January 31, 2005. 27 111 28 111

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811(b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (4) Denial of licensure, revocation, suspension, restriction, or any other disciplinary action against a health care professional license or certificate by another state or territory of the United States, by any other government agency, or by another California health care professional licensing board. A certified copy of the decision or judgment shall be conclusive evidence of that action.

COST RECOVERY

7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

^

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Disciplinary Action by Michigan Board of Nursing)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a)(4) on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent was disciplined by the State of Michigan Board of Nursing (Michigan Board) for violation of Michigan Public Health Code sections 16221(a) (conduct, practice or condition that impairs, or may impair, the ability to safely and skillfully practice the health profession), 16221(b)(ii) (conduct that evidences substance abuse), 16221(b)(iii) (conduct that evidences a mental or physical inability reasonably related to and adversely affecting Respondent's ability to practice in a safe and competent manner), and 16221(c)(iv) (conduct which evidences a mental or physical inability reasonably related to and adversely affecting ability to practice in a safe and competent manner). The circumstances are as follows:
- 9. On or about February 1, 2008, Respondent began employment as an agency nurse with Maxim Staffing Solutions in Bingham Farms, Michigan. From August 16, 2008 through September 5, 2008, while assigned to Harper University Hospital in Detroit, Michigan, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis (Pyxis) automated dispensing unit excessive amounts of hydromorphone for five patients, of which one patient did not have a physician's order. Respondent failed to document the administration and wastage of the medication in the patients' charts.
- 10. From August 29, 2008 through September 13, 2008, while assigned to Detroit Receiving Hospital in Detroit, Michigan, Respondent withdrew from Pyxis excessive amounts of morphine for seven patients, of which five patients did not have a physician's order for the medication. Respondent failed to document the administration and wastage of the medication in the patients' charts.
- 11. On September 23, 2008, Respondent admitted to administrators at Harper University Hospital and Detroit Receiving Hospital that she diverted narcotics for personal use to alleviate her pain and further admitted that she required 30mg of morphine or 6mg of Dilaudid daily to satisfy her addiction to the narcotics, which she injected into her arms and legs. Respondent was

referred to an Employee Assistance Program that referred Respondent to the Michigan Board's Health Professional Recovery Program (HPRP) for her drug dependency. Respondent completed intake assessment with HPRP and disclosed that she had been abusing Percocet and Norco for the past seven years and had diverted narcotics for personal use. The HPRP requested that Respondent undergo an evaluation.

- 12. On September 25, 2008, Respondent entered into a five-day detoxification program followed by an intensive outpatient (IOP) treatment program.
- 13. On October 6, 2008, Respondent underwent an evaluation as directed by the HPRP. The evaluator diagnosed Respondent with opiod dependency and recommended entry into an HPRP monitoring agreement. Respondent was deemed safe to practice with a six-month narcotic access restriction and a maximum 40-hour work week.
- 14. On October 6, 2008, pursuant to Michigan Public Health Code section 20175(5), Maxim Staffing Solutions notified the Michigan Board of Nursing of Respondent's termination for the admitted diversion of hydromorphone and morphine while assigned to Harper University Hospital and Detroit Receiving Hospital.
- 15. On November 7, 2008, Respondent entered into a three-year, non-disciplinary substance abuse HPRP monitoring agreement with the Michigan Board of Nursing. The terms of the monitoring agreement required, in part, that Respondent: a) abstain from alcohol and all mood-altering substances; b) attend weekly 12-step and Caduceus meetings; c) submit to random urine drug screens; d) take only approved medications; 3) participate in weekly group therapy; and f) submit monthly self-reports and quarterly therapist reports to the HPRP.
- 16. On October 1, 2009, December 29, 2009, and April 29, 2010, the HPRP notified Respondent that she was not in compliance with the terms of her monitoring agreement and each time directed Respondent to submit missing documents, which she failed to do. On May 19, 2010, the HPRP notified Respondent that her file had been closed based on her non-compliance and gave her until June 2, 2010, to complete and return the necessary paperwork for a Step-1